

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,370	06/18/2007	Michel Dreano	SCHIAFFONATI 1	8192
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			MERTZ, PREMA MARIA	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/583,370	DREANO ET AL.	DREANO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Prema M. Mertz	1646	l			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perest to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	C DATE OF THIS COMM R 1.136(a). In no event, however, m riod will apply and will expire SIX (6 atute, cause the application to beco	UNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)□ [Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
-		This action is non-final.					
/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-25,28,31-50 and 53-58</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□ (6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 (☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>19-25, 28, 31-50, 53-58</u> are subje	ct to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.				
Application	on Papers						
9)∏ ⊤	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•		l Stage			
	application from the International Bu	•					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	s not received.				
Attachment(_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 Notic	ce of Informal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-18, 26-27, 29-30, 51-52 have been canceled in the amendment filed 4/26/07. Claims 19-25, 28, 31-50, 53-58 are pending and under consideration by the Examiner.

Election/Restriction

- 1. This application is a 371 of PCT/IL/01158. For applications filed under 371, PCT rules for lack of unity apply.
- 2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group 1. Claims 19-25, 28, 31-37, 40-50, 53-58, are drawn to a method of treating liver injury by administering IL-6.
- Group 2. Claims 19-25, 28, 31-37, 40-50, 53-58, are drawn to a method of preventing liver injury by administering IL-6.
- Group 3. Claims 19, 38-39, 40-49, 53-58, are drawn to a method of treating liver injury by administering an expression vector encoding IL-6.
- Group 4. Claims 19, 38-39, 40-49, 53-58, are drawn to a method of preventing liver injury by administering an expression vector encoding IL-6.

Application/Control Number:

10/583,370

Art Unit: 1646

Page 3

The inventions listed as Groups I-4 do not relate to a single general inventive concept

under PCT Rule 13.1 because under PCT Rule 13.2 they lack the same or corresponding special

technical feature for the following reasons:

The PCT rules define a special technical feature as a feature, which defines a contribution

over the prior art. The first claimed invention fails to recite such a feature, since Kovalovich et al

discloses that administration of IL-6 protects against Fas-mediated death in the liver of mice by

establishing a critical level of anti-apoptotic hepatic proteins FLIP, Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL (see

abstract). Therefore, the method described in the reference meets the limitations of Group I.

Since the first claimed invention lacks a special technical feature, the other claimed

inventions cannot share a special technical feature with the first claimed invention. The methods

of Groups 1-4 are patentably distinct from each other because each recites method steps not

required by the other, each method uses different starting materials and patient populations and

the search of all methods in one patent application would result in an undue search burden.

Election of Species

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

If any one of Groups 1-4 are elected, Applicants are required to elect one of the species

of IL-6 to be administered as recited in for example, claim 19, or of the expression vector

encoding a species of IL-6 to be administered as recited in for example, claim 38.

10/583,370

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prema Mertz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00AM to 3:30PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached on (571) 272-0835.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (571) 273-8300. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (571) 273-0876.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/<u>Prema Mertz/</u> Primary Examiner Art Unit 1646